

Reading #1: The Renaissance as a distinct period in European history.

Directions: First, read the document to get an initial understanding. Then, summarize the argument for each of the historians.

The Civilization of The Renaissance In Italy

By Jacob Burckhardt, 1890

In the Middle Ages both sides of human consciousness – that which was turned within as that which was turned without – lay dreaming or half awake beneath a common veil. The veil was woven of faith, illusion, and childish prepossession, through which the world and history were seen clad in strange hues. Man was conscious of himself only as member of a race, people, party, family, or corporation – only through some general category. In Italy this veil first melted into air; an *objective* treatment and consideration of the state and of all the things of this world became possible. The *subjective* side at the same time asserted itself with corresponding emphasis; man became a spiritual *individual*, and recognized himself as such. In the same way the Greek had once distinguished himself from the barbarian, and the Arabian had felt himself an individual at a time when other Asiatics (Asians) knew themselves only as members of a race....

In far earlier times we can here and there detect a development of free personality which in Northern Europe either did not occur at all, or could not display itself in the same manner...But at the close of the thirteenth century Italy began to swarm with individuality; the charm laid upon human personality was dissolved; and a thousand figures meet us each in its own special shape and dress. Dante's great poem would have been impossible in any other country of Europe, if only for the reason that they still lay under the spell of race. For Italy the august poet, through the wealth of individuality, which he set forth, was the most national herald of his time. But this unfolding of the treasure of human nature in literature and art – this many-sided representation and criticism – will be discussed in separate chapters; here we have to deal only with the psychological fact itself. This fact appears in the most decisive and unmistakable form. The Italians of the fourteenth century knew little of false modesty or of hypocrisy in any shape; not one of them was afraid of singularity, of being and seeming unlike his neighbors.

Source: Jacob Burckhardt. *The Civilization of the Renaissance in Italy*, trans. S.C.C. Middlemore (London: George Allen and Unwin, Ltd., New York: The Macmillan Co., 1890), p. 199.

Reading #2: The Renaissance as a continuation of Medieval Europe.

Before you read:

- This is a (100 year later) response to Burckhardt and his view of the Renaissance as a NEW era in history. Any quotes you read are from Burckhardt's book which you read part of on the other side.
- Burke refers to "medievalists" - these are historians who are experts on Medieval Europe.

The Myth of the Renaissance

By Peter Burke, 1987

Jacob Burckhardt...defined the period in terms of two concepts, "individualism" and "modernity." In the Middle Ages, according to Burckhardt, "human consciousness...lay dreaming or half awake beneath a common veil...Man was conscious of himself only as a member of a race, people, party, family, or corporation – only through some general category." In Renaissance Italy, however, "this veil melted into air...man became a spiritual *individual*, and recognized himself as such." Renaissance meant modernity. The Italian was, Burckhardt wrote, "the first-born among the sons of modern Europe." The fourteenth-century poet Petrarch was "one of the first truly modern men." The great renewal of art and ideas began in Italy, and at the later stage the new attitudes and the new artistic forms spread to the rest of Europe.

This idea of the Renaissance is a myth...

Burckhardt's mistake was to accept the scholars and artists of the period at their own valuation, to take this story of rebirth at its face value and to elaborate it into a book. To the old formulae of the restoration of the arts and the revival of classical antiquity, he added new ones such as individualism, realism, and modernity...

This nineteenth-century myth of the Renaissance is still taken seriously by many people. Television companies and organizers of package tours still make money out of it. However, professional historians have become dissatisfied with this version of the Renaissance, even if they continue to find the period and the movement attractive. The point is that the grand edifice erected by Burckhardt and his contemporaries has not stood the test of time. More exactly, it has been undermined by the researchers of the medievalists in particular. Their arguments depend on innumerable points of detail, but they are of two main kinds.

In the first place there are arguments to the effect that so-called "Renaissance men" were really rather medieval. They were more traditional in their behavior, assumptions, and ideals than we tend to think – and also more traditional than they saw themselves. Hindsight suggests that even Petrarch, "one of the first truly modern men," according to Burckhardt...had many attitudes in common with the centuries he described as "dark"...

In the second place, the medievalists have accumulated arguments to the effect that the Renaissance was not such a singular event as Burckhardt and his contemporaries once thought and that the term should really be used in the plural. There were various "renaissances" in the Middle Ages, notably in the twelfth century and in the age of Charlemagne. In both cases there was a combination of literary and artistic achievements with a revival of interest in classical learning, and in both cases contemporaries described their age as one of restoration, rebirth, or renovation.

Source: Peter Burke, *The Renaissance*, pp 1, 3-5. Reprinted with permission by Hamilton Press International, Inc. Atlantic Highlands, NJ, and The Macmillan Press, Ltd.